FOR THE DISTRI	CT OF MONTANA
MISSOULA	DIVISION
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) Cause No. CR 11-8-M-DWM
Plaintiff,	)
-vs-	)
WILLIAM RICHARD NIELSEN,	)
Defendant.	)
	)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Taken at 201 East Broadway
Missoula, Montana
Friday, November 16, 2012 - 10:00 a.m.

Transcript of Proceedings heard before the Honorable Donald W. Molloy

Reported by Jennifer Wells, Jeffries Court Reporting, Inc., 1015 Mount Avenue, Suite C, Missoula, Montana 59801, (406) 721-1143, Freelance Court Reporter residing in Missoula, Montana.

```
1
                          APPEARANCES
 2
    Cyndee Peterson, Assistant U.S. Attorney, Assistant U.S.
    Attorney's Office, 105 E. Pine, Second Floor, Missoula, Montana
 3
    59802,
         appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff.
 4
    Michael Donahoe, Senior Litigator, Federal Defenders of Montana,
 5
    104 Second Street South, P.O. Box 3547, Great Falls, Montana
    59403-3547,
 6
         appearing on behalf of the Defendant.
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
                         Friday, November 16, 2012
 2
         THE COURT: Be seated, please. Would you call the next
 3
    matter on the calendar.
 4
         THE CLERK: This is the time set for resentencing in
 5
    CR 11-8-M-DWM, the United States of America versus William
    Richard Nielson.
 7
         THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Peterson.
 8
         MS. PETERSON: Good morning, Your Honor.
 9
         THE COURT: Have you read the updated amended presentence
10
    investigation report that was prepared following the Ninth
11
    Circuit's decision of September 12th of this year?
12
         MS. PETERSON: Yes, Your Honor.
13
         THE COURT: Do you have any objections to the presentence
14
    investigation report?
15
         MS. PETERSON: No, sir.
16
         THE COURT: Are you going to make a motion on the 3E1.1(b)
17
    for the acceptance of responsibility?
18
         MS. PETERSON: Yes, Your Honor.
19
         THE COURT: That motion is granted. Any other motions
20
    you're anticipating?
21
         MS. PETERSON: No, Your Honor.
22
         THE COURT: I do believe that I sent out notice indicating
23
    I contemplated making an upward variation.
2.4
         MS. PETERSON: I do, Your Honor.
25
         THE COURT: Do you need any more time to deal with that?
```

```
1
         MS. PETERSON:
 2
         THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Donahoe.
 3
         MR. DONAHOE: Good morning, Your Honor.
 4
         THE COURT: Have you read the presentence investigation
 5
    report as it relates to William Richard Nielsen?
 6
         MR. DONAHOE: Yes.
 7
         THE COURT: Has William Richard Nielsen read the new
 8
    presentence investigation report?
 9
         MR. DONAHOE: I have gone over and explained the changes to
10
    him, yes.
11
         THE COURT: So have you gone over it in detail with him?
12
         MR. DONAHOE: Yes.
13
         THE COURT: Do you have any objections to the presentence
14
    investigation report?
15
         MR. DONAHOE: No, except for the comment about the sex
16
    offender treatment program and his willingness.
17
         THE COURT: Right. And that comment is set forth in
18
    paragraph 9.
19
         MR. DONAHOE: 9 I think, yes.
20
         THE COURT: Right. And it doesn't have anything to do with
2.1
    any sentence that I would impose. I think it's information.
22
    Ironically, I think the guideline books that just came out
23
    indicate that the commission has made a change that
    post-sentencing conduct can be considered in -- when there's a
24
25
    resentencing, but I don't think that applies here. And in any
```

event, I'm not taking that into account in terms of what I think 2 is a reasonable sentence in the case. So I have given notice I 3 am contemplating an upward variation. Do you and Mr. Nielsen need any more time to prepare to deal with that issue? 5 MR. DONAHOE: No. 6 THE COURT: Do you have any objections -- I think I asked 7 you that, but other than do you have objections that I need to 8 rule on? 9 MR. DONAHOE: No, Your Honor, I don't. 10 THE COURT: All right. Well, for purposes of the record, 11 then, I'm going to accept the presentence investigation report 12 as amended after the Ninth Circuit's decision, which faulted my 13 reasoning in the application of the vulnerable victim to a 14 12-year-old girl that was enticed by Mr. Nielsen to come up here 15 where he repeatedly raped her, that she wasn't vulnerable. And 16 I am uncertain if that opinion says the guideline can never be 17 applied or if it says my reasoning wasn't good enough. I will 18 accept the latter. 19 And then I was faulted also for including in his criminal 20 history his prior sex offense when he was 15 or 16 years old in 21 Pend Oreille -- or Pondera County. And that was a conviction 22 that he was required to be a registered sex offender for. And 23 the Circuit reasoned that you can't count juvenile convictions, 24 apparently, for calculating his -- for the application of the 25 criminal history. And so for those two reasons they reversed

I think there was a challenge as to the reasonableness of it. 2 the sentence, which was left unanswered. 3 So I am accepting the plea agreement and I have given notice that I am -- and I will make an upward variance in this 5 case for the reasons I will state. And as we all know, the things that we say orally we think are perfectly logical until 7 you read a transcript, and there are always things that are not 8 what you planned to say and there are always instances that there are things that you wish you had said in order to make a 9 10 more complete record. And to alleviate that from being an issue 11 in the fact that I have given notice, I will orally pronounce the sentencing and my reasoning, but I fully intend to do, for 12 13 want of a better term, findings of fact in an effort to fully 14 flesh out what my reasoning is in making an upward variation in 15 this case. And so I will try and be as clear as possible this 16 morning. But I am also going to be providing a written 17 justification for the sentence. 18 So if there are no legal issues to rule on, Mr. Donahoe, I will give you an opportunity to speak on behalf of Mr. Nielsen. 19 20 He can join you. I will give him an opportunity to speak before 21 calling on Ms. Peterson. 22 Thank you, Your Honor. I really don't have a MR. DONAHOE: 23 lot this morning. I guess through the appeal process I did stay 24 in touch with Mr. Nielsen and sort of kept track of his 25 whereabouts. He did land in Tucson in the facility there and we

stayed in pretty close contact on the telephone. I think he has 2 made progress at the institution. And as the amended reports 3 notes, he's not really suffered any discipline problems. He has a job in the kitchen. In the way of a physical issue, he broke 5 his glasses a little bit ago and was unable to replace the lens. So he has a hard time seeing, actually, and has to read up close 7 and is suffering from headaches. I don't think that that has 8 anything to do with what we're doing this morning. I just 9 wanted to make an observation that he seems to be suffering 10 under that condition. 11 Your Honor, I would please urge the Court to give a 12 quideline sentence in this case. I respectfully submit that 13 it's somewhere in that range. I might even concede the top end 14 of it would be sufficient, but not greater than necessary. 15 I say for a couple of reasons. First, as indicated, I have kept 16 in touch with Mr. Nielsen. I think he's doing his best to adapt 17 to an incarceration setting. 18 He does, in fact, intend to engage in the sex offender 19 treatment program. He will do that both in the institution at 20 an appropriate time. He's just too far in the beginning of his 21 sentence to matriculate into the system now. He has completed the drug program. He has done that, has that under his belt. 22 23 And I think he's making a good effort to do this time. And in 24 speaking with him, I think the incarceration is starting to have 25 an effect. He has incentive for release. He looks to the day

```
when he can get back into society. And I think he's going to
 2
    take full advantage of the programs available to him.
 3
    hopefully to make him a law abiding and better citizen when he
    is released.
 5
         He's a young man. I think he has some potential. I know
    his background is difficult and in some ways works against him
    for reasons that maybe are his own fault. But I believe that
 7
 8
    there is redemption for anybody that tries hard enough. And I
 9
    think he's starting to display the willingness to do that. I
10
    hope the best for him, and I have told him that. And I have
11
    tried to orient him in a positive way. And I guess, in
    conclusion I just want Your Honor to know I think he's starting
12
13
    to respond to that. He's keeping to himself at the institution.
14
    He likes the fact that he has a job. He was working in the
15
    kitchen at Tucson. So I think he's adapting and his promise for
16
    the future would please warrant a sentence within the guideline
17
    range.
18
         Thank you.
19
         THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Donahoe.
         William Richard Nielsen, you have a right to speak on your
20
21
    own behalf before I impose sentence on you. Would you like to
22
    exercise that right?
23
         THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
24
         THE COURT: You may proceed.
25
         THE DEFENDANT:
                         All I can say is I know I screwed up.
                                                                I am
```

```
extremely sorry for what I have done, and I plan on working the
 2
    best I can to make myself better while I'm incarcerated.
 3
         THE COURT:
                    Anything else?
 4
         THE DEFENDANT:
                         No, Your Honor.
 5
         THE COURT: All right. You and Mr. Donahoe may be seated.
 6
         Ms. Petersen.
 7
         MS. PETERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. The Court had
 8
    previously found that the quideline range at the prior
 9
    sentencing, which is 235 to 293 months, was insufficient and
10
    varied up 480 months in this case. And government would argue,
11
    Your Honor, how much more so is 188 to 235 insufficient based on
    all of the prior reasons that the government set forth. And we
12
13
    would incorporate those comments that were made at the prior
14
    sentencing. And all of the reasons previously cited by the
15
    Court, 480 months is the sentence that is sufficient, but not
16
    greater than necessary in this case. I don't want to go back
17
    through and reiterate everything, Your Honor, because I know
18
    that you're familiar with the record. It's difficult because
19
    obviously when we're at this stage now it's easier to minimize
20
    the effect on the victim and the heinous nature of the crime.
21
    And I don't want the Court to do that, and frankly, I don't
22
    think the Court will. But I would specifically ask that the
23
    Court would incorporate all of the prior reasons, all of the
24
    issues that were relayed in the evaluations, including the
25
    reasons that were made to the defendant's history and conduct,
```

the impact on the victim and to impose the same sentence that 2 was previously imposed. 3 The one thing that I would ask the Court to do, Your Honor, is address -- I believe there were three things that were 5 brought up in the appeal when the defendant challenged the sentence as being unreasonable. There are issues that were 7 pointed out that can now be corrected. And in case there is 8 another appeal, I would like the record to be clear on those 9 three things. One of them that was challenged was that the Court -- the defendant was talking about how the Court's reasons 10 11 were repetitious and exaggerated. And he had stated -- the Court stated that the Scolatti report characterized appellant as 12 13 a, quote, high-risk to re-offend. But the report actually 14 states that the risk to re-offend is moderate high. 15 I believe that what the Court was talking about is that 16 based on the violence recidivism scale, Mr. Nielsen falls in the 17 highest third for offenders on violent crime. But the risk 18 designation on the special recidivism rate is moderate to high. 19 So I would ask that the Court clarify that it was referencing 20 the violent sex and the violent recidivism rates when you were 21 referencing that he falls into the upper third. 22 The second challenge was from the quote -- was from the 23 Court's quote saying that it is also coming from Dr. Scolatti's 24 The Court's statement was, It's highly unlikely that Mr. Nielsen is likely to learn from his experience. And the 25

```
defendant challenged that nothing in Dr. Scolatti's report said
 2
    that.
 3
         Dr. Scolatti's report says, and I quote, He is not likely
    to learn from experience. So in the event that there's any
 5
    difference between the Court saying that it's highly unlikely
    and he is not likely to learn from experience, I would ask that
 7
    the Court make that clear.
 8
         And the third one is that the Court stated erroneously,
 9
    again, because I think the Court was approximating, but the
10
    Court made the statement that the defendant was 15 years older
11
    than the victim. And actually, the defendant is 11 and a half
    years, approximately, older than the victim.
12
13
         So the government would ask the Court to clarify those
14
    specific things on the record, impose the same sentence relying
15
    on all of the reasons that were previously stated.
16
         Thank you, Your Honor.
17
         THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Well, one thing I
18
    hadn't done was to go over the statutory and guideline
19
    provisions prior to allocation, but I don't think it
20
    procedurally makes a difference.
21
         And if you think it does, Mr. Donahoe, I will give you
22
    another bit at the apple.
23
         MR. DONAHOE: No. I'm fine.
24
         THE COURT: All right. The statutory provisions are that
    Mr. Nielsen can be incarcerated for no less than 10 years.
25
                                                                 The
```

maximum sentence is life imprisonment. Under the revised 2 presentence investigation report that was prepared after the 3 Ninth Circuit's opinion in reversing the case is now 188 months to 235 months. 5 With respect to probation, by statute Mr. Nielsen cannot receive probation. The guidelines advisory and character 7 indicate probation is not available. 8 Supervised released for Mr. Nielsen by statute can be no 9 less than five years. It can be up to life. The guideline, 10 advisory in nature, recommend five years to life in prison. 11 And by statute he could be fined up to 250,000. The 12 guideline range is 20,000 to 200,000. 13 By statute restitution is available. And I believe that 14 Mr. Donahoe in his pleadings indicated that he did not have any 15 difficulty with the concept of restitution, as long as there was 16 justification. It's my understanding that the restitution is 17 \$2,305, which is the amount of travel and counseling for the 18 mother and father of the victim. And that the guidelines, if 19 statute authorizes the \$2,305, so do the guidelines. 20 He has to pay a \$100 special assessment by statute and 21 under the quidelines. 22 It will cost roughly \$29,000 for each year that Mr. Nielsen 23 is incarcerated, with an additional 3500 for each year of his 24 supervised release. If he were sentenced within the advisory

guideline range of 188 to 235 months, it would cost the American

25

```
tax payers between $452,700 and $566,000. Those are rough
 2
    numbers.
 3
         Supervised released would at least be $17,166, and it's
    going to be for life. So it's roughly $3500 for each year
 5
    Mr. Nielsen lives after he gets out of prison.
         So I had not done that earlier when I usually do, but,
    Ms. Peterson, is that an accurate statement of the statutory
 7
 8
    quidelines and provisions? And if you would, address the
 9
    restitution.
10
         MS. PETERSON: Yes, Your Honor, I will. That is an
11
    accurate statement and the government has no objections to it.
12
    The restitution is not for any counseling or therapy.
13
    been withdrawn from the victim's request. The restitution is
14
    specifically $1705 for the father, and $600 for the mother. And
15
    that was for their expenses in coming up to rescue their
16
    daughter.
17
         THE COURT: The 600, was that for her counseling or was
    that for --
18
19
         MS. PETERSON: No. Those were for the mother's expenses
20
    that were incurred coming up to Montana.
2.1
         THE COURT: All right. Mr. Donahoe, as far as the
22
    restitution is there an issue?
23
         MR. DONAHOE: No, Your Honor. I had notice of that
24
    yesterday, that number. And we have no objection to that.
25
         THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
```

1 Well, I think that the request of the United States that I 2 clarify the issue of Dr. Scolatti's moderate to high and the 3 violence category versus the sexual recidivism and his determination, as well as my characterization of what he said concerning whether or not the defendant was unlikely to learn, 5 as opposed to my reference and the age distinction between Mr. Nielsen and a 12 year old is a reflection of what I said at 7 8 the beginning of this. When imposing a sentence when I spend 9 the evening and the day before reading presentence investigation 10 reports and reflecting on it, and in this case, of course, 11 having a great deal of time after the Ninth Circuit opinion to 12 reflect on the sentence that is sufficient, but not greater than 13 necessary, and then reflecting on what I said, what I intended 14 to say, and what I should have said, points out why I think it's 15 important that I write out specifically what my reasoning is in 16 this case. Because sometimes, even though I attempt to engage 17 my brain before my tongue, oftentimes it doesn't come out at 18 least the way I think I was going to say it. 19 Ms. Peterson is absolutely correct in her characterization 20 of the three issues that she has raised. And I will make sure 21 in my written justification for the sentence that I'm going to 22 impose that that is clearly reflected. With that in mind, I am 23 going to sentence William Richard Nielsen to 480 months. I do 24 not believe a sentence within 188 to 235 months is sufficient 25 given all of the information that is available concerning

William Richard Nielsen, the nature and circumstances of the 2 offense and the history and characteristics of William Richard 3 Nielsen. In this case, Mr. Nielsen met a 12-year-old girl through a 4 5 phone service called Lavalife, L-A-V-A-L-I-F-E. In the conversations, however the victim got on that website, whatever representations she may have made, in the course of her initial 7 8 contact and sexting with Mr. Nielsen, he was made aware that she 9 was 12 years old. Despite knowing that she was 12 years old and 10 having told her he was a convicted sex offender, he proceeded --11 they proceeded to engage in phone sex. Mr. Nielsen then encouraged the victim, who was 12 years old, to come to Montana 12 13 with representations that they would -- he would provide her 14 drugs and a good time. He promised her marijuana and he 15 promised her a good time. He told her what, I think, roughly 16 the cost of \$110 to get a bus ticket and encouraged her to do 17 that. She had \$50 from her father, stole \$50 from her mother, 18 bought a bus ticket and used Mr. Nielsen's last name, came to 19 Missoula on January 5th of 2011. 20 Mr. Nielsen, a convicted sex offender, met her at the bus 21 station and then took her to his apartment, which was not that 22 far away, immediately began smoking marijuana and then engaged 23 in sex with her. 24 Over the next four days Mr. Nielsen admitted having sex with a 12-year-old girl several times a day. He admitted to 25

slapping her -- this is a quote -- tits, breasts, ass, and 2 vagina, end quote. He also admitted to biting her, quote, ass, 3 tits and neck, end quote. Mr. Nielsen referred to this 12-year-old girl as his, quote, little fuck pet, end quote. And either asked or insisted that she call him, quote, daddy, end 5 quote. 7 In the repeated rapes of this child, Mr. Nielsen did not 8 use any sort of condom until she began bleeding. There is a suggestion by Mr. Nielsen she was bleeding because of her 9 period. 10 There is contrary evidence to indicate she was bleeding 11 because of the violence and repeated sex she had been exposed to with Mr. Nielsen. 12 13 In interviews with police officers Mr. Nielsen was asked 14 what his plan was with this child. And he told the interviewing 15 officers that in all likelihood his conduct would have continued 16 until she was 18 years old. 17 It is reflected in the presentence report the statement of the victim's mother, the horror of her finding her daughter 18 19 naked in his bed, having repeatedly been raped, and encountering 20 a door that had locks on both sides of the door, so that 21 Mr. Nielsen had the capacity to lock her in when he was out of 22 the place and to keep her in when he was in the place. 23 The sex that he engaged in involved sexual intercourse, 24 oral sex, and digital manipulation of various orifices on that 25 child's body.

Mr. Nielsen at the time, I believe, was 24 years old. At the time he was supposedly 6 foot 4 or 6 foot 3, and weighed roughly 370 pounds.

When confronted initially after the parents arrived and found their daughter, Mr. Nielsen lied, stating that somebody named Bill had dropped her off at his apartment and asked her to -- asked him to watch the child for a couple of days. He insisted that the child advised him she was 18 years old. And then subsequently in his interrogation admitted the reality of what had occurred.

When the parents came frantically looking for their child and found him through efforts -- concerted efforts on behalf of the family and with the help of friends, they found her in Nielsen's apartment laying naked on his bed. According to her, he had drugged her to the point that she had, quote, You drugged me up to the point I had no control over myself.

She was taken to St. Patrick's Hospital in Missoula,

Montana, and had abrasions on her back, pain in her head, pain
in her lower back, and her wrists were bruised and tender.

Mr. Nielsen, in the course of being interviewed, refers to himself as a, quote, light sadist, end quote. And quote, a bit of a masochist, end quote. He admitted he had not used any kind of condom until she began bleeding, but as I have earlier indicated, he acknowledged that having sex with her repeatedly over the period that she was kept in his apartment may have been

the reason for the trauma to her vagina and the bleeding which occurred.

With respect to the light sadist and bit of a masochist, as well as with respect to Mr. Nielsen's background, when you look at his criminal history, not for purposes of scoring, but for purposes of trying to ascertain his background and who he is, his violence begins when he was 12 years old. When he was 13, he was involved in more violence, involving the police who were called to a school because of an altercation with another student. And at that time, when he was 13 years old, he was still extraordinarily big for his age.

But when he was 15, he sexually assaulted his half-sister. And what he did was -- she advised a counselor that he had raped her, not by virtue of inserting his penis in her vagina, but by engaging in oral and digital sex. She advised that this occurred because somehow Mr. Nielsen had discovered that his stepsister had been physically either abused or engaged in sex with somebody that was a friend of her mother. And Mr. Nielsen threatened her that he would he expose her past if she did not engage in some sort of sexual behavior with him. And what occurred is that Mr. Nielsen convinced her to go into his bedroom where she lay on the bed, and then Mr. Nielsen proceeded to sexually assault her by touching her in her genital and vaginal area with his fingers, then removing her undergarments and engaging in oral sex with his half-sister. This was brought

to the attention of the mother who indicated to him that he would be sent away if he did not alter his ways. When he ended up being sent away for that behavior, then he was released at the age 18 and did not register as a sex offender. And had a conviction where he got a suspended sentence of five years for failing to register as a sex offender.

But the record indicates that Mr. Nielsen was not finished with his aberrant -- or deviant would be a better word, sexual behavior. When he was 24 years old, in July of 2010, which was roughly six months before this incident, there was an issue concerning two women going to his residence. And it is in the record that Mr. Nielsen tried to convince one of the two women or perhaps both of them to engage in what was referred to as a threesome. And that an incident occurred where Mr. Nielsen, while one of the woman was showering, sexually assaulted the other woman by, according to the woman, squeezing her boobs and grabbing her vagina. He stopped at some point.

And then the other woman indicated that Mr. Nielsen had suggested that they have a threesome. She had met Mr. Nielsen online, as the child in this case did. And she had an intimate relationship with Mr. Nielsen. And that relationship, whether she persisted in her view or altered her view, her statements were relatively consistent that she was sexually assaulted by Mr. Nielsen on a number of times. When she was sleeping he would abuse her or attempt to engage in sex against her wishes.

And that Mr. Nielsen had, according to her, a fantasy of wanting 2 to play rape. He would pin her down and then engage in sexual 3 intercourse. And according to this woman, she was forced to be a sex slave. And indeed, the record reflects that she signed a 5 contract prepared by Mr. Nielsen that he obtained from the Internet indicating the terms of the sexual slavery agreement. 7 There is some dispute as to what actually occurred 8 concerning the effort at threesomes, but the woman who was 9 apparently the sex slave altered her view and basically went to 10 the police and indicated she deserved what happened and told the 11 police that she had signed a contract before moving in with Mr. Nielsen where she was going to be a sex slave. She 12 13 indicated that she consented to the sexual relationship, but 14 that they had recently broken up and had a relationship of, 15 quote, friends with benefits, end quote. 16 She met Mr. Nielsen on Lavalife, and he was paying to have 17 phone sex. However, she moved to Missoula at the age of 18, entered the slave contract with Mr. Nielsen. And in that 18 19 relationship he required her to refer to him as, quote, master. 20 And when in the master's presence she could not wear a bra, and 21 she had to ask Mr. Nielsen permission for anything and was 22 responsible for his sexual gratification. They discussed, 23 quote, safe words, end quote, that they would use during sexual 24 play. But that her report was that Mr. Nielsen had this play rape fantasy that he wanted to act out. And her suggestion was 25

that the safe words were not responded to with the immediacy 2 that they had as an understanding. 3 Mr. Nielsen engaged, I think, in some significant violence that was reported by another tenant in the same building, where 5 this slave woman was shoved and punched. And that it was reported that Mr. Nielsen was observed running at the slave woman and smashing her into the walls, and she was without 7 8 clothing, trying to push him away. And then later reported to 9 the woman who -- or reported to the woman that called the police 10 about this master-slave relationship that she had with 11 Mr. Nielsen. Other persons reportedly heard the woman saying, 12 Stop doing that. 13 There are a number of evaluations that have been done on 14 William Richard Nielsen by various psychologists that are 15 reflected in the presentence report. And I will refer to them 16 in the written materials that I provide as a justification for 17 the sentence. But when I read both the information in the 18 presentence report and the evaluations that have been provided 19 to me, particularly that of Dr. Scolatti, I think it is 20 important to say or quote what Dr. Scolatti had to say. 21 Mr. Nielsen approached the evaluation with a combination of 22 openness and wariness. He is no stranger to the criminal 23 justice system and his responses to the inquiries demonstrated 24 his knowledge and awareness. That is, he appeared to be very

candid and open with discussing material that would not get him

25

into trouble, information that was already known about him or historical data which did not present him in a negative light. 3 However, he was much more reticent to divulge any information that might be incriminating to his current case or past criminal 5 actions. For example, he denied his adolescent offense against his sister and backpedaled on the current offense regarding incriminating information he disclosed during his law 7 8 enforcement interview. Rarely did Mr. Nielsen take full 9 responsibility for any of his transgressions. 10 In assessing the possibility that Mr. Nielsen falsely 11 admitted elements of his offense to the detective working this case, according to Dr. Scolatti and the information available to 12 13 him, there is no evidence to substantiate the assertion. 14 Dr. Scolatti noted that psychological testing indicated a 15 plethora of problems. While there is no doubt Mr. Nielsen has 16 psychological problems, his over-endorsement of pathological 17 items makes it difficult to sort the, quote, wheat from the 18 chaff, end quote. Suffice it to say, the MMPI-2RF was 19 technically invalid due to his pathological response style. 20 Other personality instruments also reflected his expressed 2.1 emotional distress and were not rendered invalid. 22 Dr. Scolatti, of course, in a very lengthy report went on 23 to state Mr. Nielsen has a significant antisocial personality 24 disorder, with prominent borderline and schizoid features. 25 essential maladaptive personality features are a pension for

stimulus variety and excitement, and an inability to tolerate boredom and routine. This prompts him to be impulsive, 2 3 irresponsible, negativistic and unpredictable. Central to his personality disorder is Mr. Nielsen's risk taking and 5 impulsiveness behaviors. Risk taking is often carried out for itself for the excitement it provides for the sense of feeling 7 alive and engaged in life, rather than for purposes such as 8 material gain or status in a peer group. Mr. Nielsen responds 9 before thinking, acting impulsively and behaving in an 10 unreflective, uncontrolled manner. 11 Beyond his inability to control his behaviors and feelings, Mr. Nielsen appears to be substantially fearless, undaunted by 12 13 events that most people experience as dangerous and frightening. 14 And he gives evidence of venturesomeness that appears blind to 15 the potential serious consequences. His risky behavior is 16 foolhardy, not courageous. 17 When Mr. Nielsen was earlier evaluated in 2002 by Barbara 18 Bottomly, she found, based on testing results from the MMPI, 19 that Mr. Nielsen had serious impulse control problems. He had 20 low frustration tolerance, and the need for constant stimulation 21 caused him to behave recklessly and irresponsibly, that he was 22 uninhibited and self-indulgent. He had an exaggerated sense of 23 self that boarded on grandiosity. And that two significant 24 factors, a natural ability to charm, persuade or even con 25 others, and he had numerous misanthropic attitudes.

1 And her finding was that his characteristics, as evidenced 2 by the MMPI reflected a person not -- that is a poor candidate 3 for traditional psychotherapy. When she administered the Buss-Durkee test in 2002, which is a measure of hostility, there were several categories. Mr. Nielsen, at that time, had an 5 overall score of 45. And the cutoff for that particular 7 evaluation is 38. The characteristics under resentment, the 8 high score for the test is four, the defendant's score was 5. 9 Under indirect hostility the high score was 6, and the 10 defendant's score was 8. Under the category of assault the high 11 score is 6, and the defendant's score was 8. Under suspicion the high score is 4, and the defendant's score was 7. Under 12 13 verbal hostility the high score was 9, and the defendant's score 14 was 9. 15 She found there were other issues with Mr. Nielsen, which 16 were consistent with the later findings, in my opinion, of Dr. 17 Scolatti, which are very troublesome. And that is 18 Mr. Nielsen's -- she characterized them as critical items that 19 should be followed up on -- his desire to get hurt and his 20 desire to get in fights. And she apparently felt that he 21 suffered from many behavioral problems, that testing revealed --22 suggested aggression verbally and physically, as well as 23 indirect hostility. 24 In that evaluation by Ms. Bottomly there were also instances of Mr. Nielsen's incapacity to abide by the truth, 25

even when confronted, and his propensity to prevarication by 2 changing his view or representations of what had occurred in his sexual misbehavior. In the incident that she was describing 3 Mr. Nielsen made excuses and said that his sexual offense happened because the person, I.E., his sister -- stepsister --5 or half-sister, excuse me, was so curious and interested in sex. And then, of course, attributed his sexual deviation to his 7 8 family. 9 There are many other aspects of Dr. Scolatti's report where 10 he says in one part that sexually two diagnostic classifications 11 pertain to Mr. Nielsen, sexual sadism and paraphilia. Paraphilia focused on sexual sadism involves acts -- real, not 12 13 simulated -- in which the individual derives sexual excitement 14 from the psychological or physical suffering, including 15 humiliation of the victim, which is entirely consistent with the 16 sex slave that he contracted with and with his abuse of the 17 child victim in this case. 18 Some individuals with this paraphilia are bothered by their 19 sadistic fantasies, which may be invoked during sexual activity, 20 but not otherwise acted on. In such cases the sadistic 21 fantasies usually involve having complete control over the 22 victim who is terrified by anticipation of the impending 23 sadistic. Others act on sadistic sexual urges with a consenting 24 partner, parenthetically, who may have sexual masochism, who 25 willingly suffers pain or humiliation. Sadistic fantasies or

acts may involve activities that indicate the dominance of the 2 person over the victim. In order words, forcing the victim to 3 crawl or keeping the victim in a cage. It may also involve restraint, blindfolding, paddling, spanking, whipping, pinching, 5 biting -- excuse me, beating, burning, electrical shocks, rape, cutting, stabbing, strangulation, torture, mutilation or 7 killing. 8 Mr. Nielsen's sexual behavior with the victim in this case, 9 as well as his self-reported interest in sadomasochist 10 activities has been present for over a period of at least six 11 months. During this time he has engaged in recurrent, intense sexually arousing fantasies, sexual urges and behavior involving 12 13 acts in which the psychological or physical suffering, including 14 humiliation, of the victim was sexually exciting to him. He has 15 acted on these sexual urges with a nonconsenting person. 16 the sexual urges and fantasies have caused marked distress and 17 interpersonal difficulty for him. In addition, Mr. Nielsen has a paraphilia not otherwise 18 19 specified, involving hebephilia. Hebephilia is not a separate 20 diagnostic, but rather it is a subcategory which is 21 characterized by persistent sexual interest or sexual activity 22 with young 13- to 16-year-old adolescents, and the adult person 23 is more than five years older than the teenager. In his 24 interview with police Dr. Scolatti reports Mr. Nielsen 25 acknowledged sexual contact with three 15-year-old girls since

l  $\mid$  he has been 18 or older than 18.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As is pointed out by Ms. Petersen, Mr. Nielsen, according to Dr. Scolatti, is a moderate to high risk to re-offend. And Dr. Scolatti says, From my examinations of Mr. Nielsen I did not find many redeeming qualities and a poor potential for sustained rehabilitation. Given his significant antisocial psychopathic traits, he is a poor candidate for treatment from a rehabilitative perspective.

The consequences of this act, with respect to the child that he raped repeatedly, is reflected in her indication that she has now experienced constant flashbacks and fear from the raping. And that she is suicidal, because that's the only way she can escape flashbacks. Her mother, in a very poignant victim's statement, talks about what it was like for her, the mother of the 12 year old, to know that her child is gone and not knowing whether the child was alive or dead, the nightmares that reoccurred and continue to reoccur, the hopelessness and frantic ability -- efforts, not ability, searching for her daughter day and night; the toll that it took on them physically in not knowing if their child was dead or alive; the effort to contact police, missing and exploited children databases, bus stations, organizations, family members; and then finally tracking her down and coming to Missoula with the child's father. And to quote her, It was devastating, even more devastating was the fact that she was found with a 24 year old

prior sex offender, William Nielsen. I walked into William 2 Nielsen's apartment and I saw my 12 year old lying naked on his 3 bed as he cursed at her to get up, saying her name, to get up and put -- this is a quote -- quote, her name, get up and put 5 some fucking clothes on, end quote. And as the mother stated, that is a sight and experience that no parent should have to see or want to see. She still has, in her words, I still go back in 7 8 my nightmares and see the lock that locked from both sides and 9 imagine my little girl trapped inside. She continues to have 10 flashbacks and reports that her daughter, this child, has 11 attempted suicide and struggles every day with the effects of this crime -- the effect this crime has had on her. 12 13 And the child's father very poignantly said in response to 14 the impact on him, quote, We all lost our little girl, period, 15 my baby, end quote. 16 When I consider the nature and circumstances of the offense 17 and the history and characteristics of William Richard Nielsen, as I have articulated here and will articulate in a written 18 19 finding, it is my opinion that based on his history and his 20 characteristics and the nature and circumstances of this 21 offense, that imposing a sentence within the guideline range of 22 188 to 235 months would be woefully inadequate and insufficient. 23 I believe based on that factor alone of 3553(a) that a sentence 24 of 480 months is sufficient to accomplish the goals of 25 sentencing as it relates to the first characteristic.

1 There is a need for the sentence imposed to reflect a 2 seriousness of the events and to promote a respect for the law and to provide just punishment for the offense. Mr. Donahoe has 3 articulated in this instance Mr. Nielsen is beginning to get it, 5 that he has completed the drug program, which is kudo for him, that incarceration is beginning to have an effect, that he still is young. And Mr. Donahoe has articulated the concept that he 7 8 believes in redemption and that everybody has a prospect of redemption if simply given the opportunity. 9 10 When I consider the argument of redemption and its 11 prospect, given his history, and I try and balance those against the seriousness of the offense he is, in my view -- and I think 12 13 it is reflected in the records -- a predator. And if you look at the instances of using this Lavalife, whatever that program 14 15 is, to entice a 12 year old to engage in this horrific rape and 16 sexual assaults. And I understand the Ninth Circuit's opinion, 17 that a 12-year-old girl, as I had previously articulated, is not 18 a vulnerable victim. I was perhaps inarticulate in my 19 expression of what the facts are. And my reasoning apparently 20 was faulty, and so I have not included that as any factor in 21 terms of calculating the guidelines. My experience is simply 22 that 12-year-old boys or girls are extraordinarily susceptible 23 and vulnerable to influence by those who are older than they

And here Mr. Nielsen was indeed -- that he was 24 and she

24

25

are.

Apparently that's an 11 and a half year difference was 12. 2 based on birth dates. And I had incorrectly stated he was 15 years older, which was perhaps loose language that didn't 3 express what I intended to say. Nonetheless, a 24-year-old man 5 and a 12-year-old girl, he is going to obviously be able to influence her, as he did in this case, and entice her. 7 And using the Internet, which is readily available to 8 anybody who has cell phones and all of these digital things that 9 enable people from the highest generals in the land to the 10 children in the land, to engage in inappropriate sexual 11 behavior. 12 I can't, in my mind, fathom more offensive conduct with 13 children than what Mr. Nielsen engaged in here, unless it is 14 homicide. He repeatedly raped her. And it was all basically 15 for his gratification and sexual gratification and excitement. 16 And the language he used, the things that he admitted doing, the 17 biological and physiological references are offensive. And to 18 do it with a 12-year-old girl, I think it would be difficult for 19 me to overstate the seriousness of this offense. 20 To promote respect for the law. I think Mr. Nielsen has no 21 respect for the law. And I believe if a sentence was within the 22 advisory guideline range, he could tough it out and he would be 23 right back with little or no respect for the law, seeking 24 excitement when it was available to him, consistent with the 25 psychological reports that have been done by Dr. Scolatti and

Ms. Bottomly.

Just punishment for the offense. I don't know how one measures justice in these kinds of circumstances. I do feel that punishment is a factor that must be taken into account in this case. I don't think that the punitive aspect of 235 months, which is almost 20 years, is adequate. I think that 480 months is not greater than necessary, but it is sufficient to take into account the component of 3553(a), which calls on me to consider the seriousness of the offense, the punishment of Mr. Nielsen and promoting a respect for the law.

The sentence has to deter criminal conduct. This is a very significant factor given all of what has been provided to me and the knowledge that I have from the records of William Richard Nielsen. The two components of deterrence are specific deterrence and general deterrence. Specific deterrence is the critical factor here. In my view, William Richard Nielsen needs to be incapacitated, and for as long as possible, in order to deter him from further criminal conduct. And I shouldn't say as long as possible. That's another one of those where he should be incapacitated for a significant period of time that isn't as long as possible, because I'd be putting him in prison for life, but is sufficient to incapacitate him based upon all of the information in this presentence investigation report and the psychological reports.

General deterrence, in my view, is not very effective in

these kinds of cases. Maybe it is, but I doubt it. There is a 2 need to protect the public from other crimes by William Richard 3 Nielsen. This is a very significant aspect of my view that an upward variation is necessary, and that Mr. Nielsen, who is a repeat sexual offender who was engaged in sexual behavior that 5 is certainly beyond the expectation of normal behavior between consenting adults -- but given what adults do is one thing --7 8 it's certainly, I think, and based on Dr. Scolatti's reference 9 concerning his finding that Mr. Nielsen is a moderate high risk 10 to offend without many redeeming qualities and a poor potential for sustained rehabilitation, and the earlier findings of 11 Ms. Bottomly and the propensity reflected and the violence 12 13 characteristics that are reflected in the presentence report and 14 in psychological reports the public needs to be protected. 15 think that Mr. Nielsen has demonstrated by his behavior and his 16 history a willingness to get on whatever Lavalife is to use the 17 Internet to entice people, that he has the characteristic 18 described by Ms. Bottomly of being able to be -- a profile that 19 suggests a natural ability to charm, persuade or even con 20 others, coupled with numerous misanthropic attitudes. 21 think, solidly reflected in the record there's a need to protect 22 the public from further crimes by Mr. Nielsen that are sexually 23 or violently expressed. 24 There is a need to provide him with educational, 25 vocational, medical and other correctional treatment in the most

effective manner. He has completed the RDAP program, which is 2 to his benefit. And I think Mr. Donahoe indicated that --3 correctly so, that given the length of the sentence his decision-making about participating in sex offender treatment 5 program is something that, given the fact that he is going to be doing 480 months in prison, probably more effective when he gets closer to the point where he would be released from prison. 7 8 does need significant treatment in my view, although 9 Dr. Scolatti indicated he did not believe that was going to be 10 very effective. 11 I have stated the kinds of sentences that are available and I have also given notice that I intended to make an upward 12 13 variation in this case. I have given Ms. Peterson the 14 opportunity, if she needed it, to have more time to address that 15 issue, and likewise have given Mr. Donahoe an opportunity, if he 16 wanted to address that issue, to have more time before the 17 imposition of sentence. I think both counsel have indicated 18 that they are satisfied with the record. And I know Mr. Donahoe 19 probably will question my judgment, but -- or the reasonableness 20 of the 480 month sentence, but I have given advance notice of 21 the intent to vary based upon on the information in Dr. 22 Scolatti's and the presentence report -- Dr. Scolatti's report 23 and the presentence report. 24 The guideline range is 188 to 235 months. And do not believe that is sufficient. I think it's inadequate. Ιt 25

does not meet the characteristics. I think the Congress 2 intends, in reflecting on what is sufficient, but not greater 3 than necessary sentence would be, for the reasons I have stated. There are no policy statements that I have found that I think 5 would have any bearing. And there is a need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities among defendants with similar records who have been found quilty of similar conduct. 7 8 In my 17 years, almost, on the bench, I don't recall any 9 other person, save one, that I have imposed a sentence of the 10 magnitude for a sex offense that I am imposing on Mr. Nielsen. 11 That person, I believe, is also sentenced to 480 months or 12 something close to that. But like Mr. Nielsen, that person had 13 a sexual background and sexual evaluations that indicated, in my 14 judgment, a risk of serious sexual offenses in the future based 15 upon sexual behavior in the past and the psychological 16 evaluations and sex offender evaluations that had been -- that 17 had taken place. 18 There is a need to provide restitution here. And the 19 amount of \$2,305 is the appropriate amount. And as Ms. Peterson 20 indicated and Mr. Donahoe indicated, he had received notice, 21 that is for the expenses of the parents in trying to locate their child, as the mother said -- or as the father said, My 22 23 baby, when she had left Wyoming to engage in a horrific week of 24 abuse by William Richard Nielsen. 25 Mr. Donahoe, I'm prepared to impose sentence. If you and

```
Mr. Nielsen would approach the lectern.
 2
         MR. DONAHOE:
                       (Complies.)
 3
         THE COURT: William Richard Nielsen, pursuant to the
 4
    authority vested in me by the Constitution of the United States
 5
    and the laws enacted by the Congress, as they have been
    interpreted by the Supreme Court of the United States and the
    Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, I have considered your case in
 7
 8
    great depth. And I have carefully considered the reasoning set
 9
    forth in Judge Tashima's opinion in the case where you prevailed
    on appeal because of my misguided application of the guidelines.
10
11
    And I have read that over several times trying to make sure that
    I am complying with what the circuit indicated the law was in
12
13
    your case. I have considered the reports of Dr. Scolatti,
14
    everything that's in the presentence investigation report, all
15
    of the material that had been previously submitted in this case.
    And I have considered all of the factors of 18 U.S. Code
16
17
    3553(a), as well as the sentencing quidelines. And it is not
18
    something that I do cavalierly, but I do believe for the reasons
19
    I have stated in considering what I am supposed to consider
20
    under the law. And it is my judgment that you, William Richard
21
    Nielsen, be committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons
22
    for 480 months. This is an upward variation from the advisory
23
    guidelines. I believe it is justified for the reasons I have
24
    stated on the record.
25
         I'm going to recommend that you be allowed to participate
```

in the sex offender treatment program if you go back to Tucson 2 or at any other facility you happen to reside in if you are 3 eligible. And in the fact that you have successfully completed a 500-hour residential drug treatment program, I will not recommend that again. I think they will only let you do that 5 once. 7 Mr. Nielsen, when you are released from prison you are 8 going to be placed on supervised release for the balance of your 9 life. Within 72 hours of your release from the Bureau of 10 Prisons you report in person to the probation office in the 11 district to which you are released. 12 While you are on supervised release you're not to commit 13 another federal, state or local offense. You shall not possess 14 any kind of controlled substance, and that includes so-called 15 medical marijuana, or any of the synthetic controlled 16 substances -- or synthetic substances that allow you to alter 17 your physical or mental state. You are prohibited from owning, using or being in 18 19 constructive possession of firearms, ammunition or other 20 destructive or dangerous devices. And that is for the balance 21 of your life. If you have those things, you are committing another federal offense. You could be indicted and if 22 23 convicted, sentenced on those counts. 24 You're not to use controlled substances and you're going to have to cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the 25

probation office.

While you're on supervised release you're going to have to comply with the 15 standards -- conditions of supervised release that have been adopted by the Court and recommended by the United States sentencing guideline commission. You need to read those and understand them. They will be enforced.

You will have to also comply with the following special conditions. You are going to have to, even in light of your completion of the 500-hour residential drug treatment program, you're going to have to complete a program of substance abuse treatment as approved by the probation office and continue in that treatment until you are relieved of the obligation by the probation office. You will have to pay for the treatment in whole or part, depending upon your ability to pay.

You're going to have to participate in substance abuse testing, and that will include not more than 104 urinalysis tests, not more than 104 breathalyzer tests annually during the period of your supervised release. You will have to pay for that in whole or part of the testing, depending upon your ability to pay as determined by the probation office.

Mr. Nielsen, I am going to require that you abide by standard condition number 7, with respect to the consumption of alcohol. And what means is that you are not to drink alcohol in excess. Excess is defined as a blood alcohol of point -- I think it's point 006, if I'm correct. It's either point 06 or

point 006.

You're going to have to participate in a program for mental health treatment and continue in that program for assessments for anger control. And you will stay in that program until the probation office releases you from that obligation. You will have to pay for that treatment in whole or part, depending on your ability to pay.

I am going to require, even if you complete the sex offender treatment program in prison, that you enter and complete a sex offender treatment program as directed by the U.S. probation officer in charge of your case and that you continue in that program until you're relieved of the obligation not only by the sex offender counselor, but also by the United States probation officer in charge of your case. You will have to abide by the policies of the program, and that will include physiological testing, polygraph and Able Assessment. You will have to pay for the treatment in whole or part, depending upon your ability to pay.

Mr. Nielsen, you're going to have to consent to have all employment be approved in advance in writing by the probation office. And you're going to have to consent to the third party disclosure to any employer or potential employer of the reasons you are here.

You're not going to be allowed to do any of the following unless you have the prior written approval of the U.S.

probation office. Now, this doesn't bar you from that. 2 simply means if you intend to participate or engage in any of 3 the following, before you do so you must first obtain the written permission of your supervising probation office. So 5 without that, you are not to reside in the home, residence or be in the company of any child under the age of 18, with the exception of your own children if you have them. You're not to 7 8 go to or loiter near schoolyards, parks, playground, arcades or 9 other places primarily used by children under the age of 18. 10 You're not to date or socialize with anybody knowing they have 11 children under the age of 18, as I have indicated, without the prior written approval of the U.S. Probation Office. 12 13 Mr. Nielsen, you are not to possess or view any materials 14 depicting sexually explicit conduct as defined in 18 U.S. Code 15 2256(2)(A) Roman one through five, including visual, auditory, 16 telephonic or electronic media, computer programs or services. 17 You are not to patronize any place where such material or 18 entertainment is the primary item of sale. And you're not to 19 utilize 900 or adult telephone numbers or any other sex-related 20 numbers. 21 Now, based upon your use of computers and digital devices 22 to entice this 12 year old, and you also used computers or the 23 Internet and Lavalife in conjunction with the woman who acted as 24 a sex slave, you are not to possess or use any computer or other 25 device with access to any online computer service without the

prior written approval of your probation office. It doesn't bar you, but before you use a computer or any of those other devices you have to have written approval.

2.1

You've got to allow the probation office to make unannounced examinations of your computer, hardware and software. And that may include the retrieval and copying of all data from your computer. Again, the facts that justify this are set forth in the presentence report, the manner in which you contacted the victim here and others. And the fact that you actually used the Internet to obtain some contract for sex slaves.

You're going to have to allow the probation officer to install software to restrict your computer access or to monitor your computer access. And you're not to possess any encryption or steganography software. You're going to have to provide all passwords, Internet service and user identifications past and present to the probation officer in charge of your case. And you will have to immediately report any changes. You will have to sign releases that allow the probation office to access phone, wireless, Internet and utility records.

You will have to comply with the sex offender registration requirements for convicted offenders in any state in which you live or reside. You're going to have to submit your person and any property, residence, place of employment, vehicle, papers, computers as defined in 18 U.S. Code 1030(e)(1). Other

electronic communications or data storage devices or media are 2 subject to search with or without a warrant, with or without 3 probable cause and with or without reasonable suspicion by any law enforcement or probation officer in the lawful discharge of 5 the officer's supervisory functions. This is justified, in my view, based upon your use of the Internet for criminal behavior. And this condition may include location monitoring and tracking 7 8 of any vehicle that you use or have access to. You will have to warn anybody living with you that the place you're living in is 9 10 subject to searches without reasonable suspicion pursuant to 11 this condition. You're going to have to allow seizure of 12 suspected contraband for further examination.

You're not to possess any police radio scanning devices or computer hardware or software that will enable you to track or monitor law enforcement activities. That includes applications for digital devices or cell phones.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You're not to purchase, use, possess distribute or administer marijuana or obtain or possess a medical marijuana card or prescription. This condition supercedes standard condition number 7 with respect to marijuana only. As I mentioned earlier you're not to ingest or inhale any toxic substances such as, but not limited to, synthetic marijuana and/or synthetic stimulants, things like bath salts or Wet or Spice. You're not to ingest anything that is not manufactured for human consumption with the purpose of altering your physical

or mental state. 2 You are going to be required to pay restitution in the 3 amount of \$2,305 at a rate of not less than 10 percent of your gross monthly income, unless otherwise directed by the probation 5 office based on your financial condition. And restitution will be made in accordance with the names set forth in the record. 7 But you will have to make the payment to the Clerk of the U.S. 8 District Court, P.O. Box 8537, Missoula, 59807. It will be 9 disbursed to the mother and father of the child in the case with 10 their addresses as is set forth in the materials available to 11 the clerk. I find you don't have the ability to pay a fine, so I'm not 12 13 going to impose one. I might say that with respect to the 14 restitution, have you paid the \$100 special assessment? 15 THE DEFENDANT: I have been paying it as much as I could 16 through the job I had in Tucson. 17 THE COURT: Well, once that's paid off, then you will 18 continue to pay at a rate not less than \$25 per quarter on the 19 restitution that is ordered until that restitution is paid. 20 going to waive interest on the restitution pursuant to statutory 21 law. 22 So you will pay the \$100 until it's paid off and do that 23 through the Inmate Financial Responsibility Act at a rate not 24 less than \$25 per quarter.

25

```
as stated should not be the judgment of the Court?
 2
         MS. PETERSON: No, Your Honor.
 3
         THE COURT: Okay. Well, I think there was a waiver of
 4
    appeal, but I think it was contingent, wasn't it?
 5
         MS. PETERSON: That's correct.
 6
         THE COURT: So he does have the right to appeal.
 7
         MS. PETERSON: That's correct.
 8
         THE COURT: Mr. Donahoe, any legal reason why the sentence
 9
    shouldn't be as stated by the Court?
10
         MR. DONAHOE: Your Honor, we do object to the sentence
11
    based on all the records and papers in the case. Further we
12
    respectfully submit that the sentence is unreasonable.
13
         THE COURT: All right. Your objection is noted, but the
14
    sentence as stated will be the judgment of the Court.
15
         Now, William Richard Nielsen, you do have a right to appeal
16
    the imposition of the sentence that I just imposed.
17
    intend to appeal, you must file a written notice of appeal
18
    within 14 days of today's date. Do you understand that?
19
         THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
20
         THE COURT: The notice of appeal has to be in writing, and
    it has to be filed with the Clerk of the United States District
2.1
22
    Court for the District of Montana. And if you don't file the
23
    notice in writing within the next 14 days with the Clerk of the
    U.S. District Court, then you will have waived your right to
24
25
    appeal. Do you understand that?
```

```
1
         THE DEFENDANT:
                         Yes, sir.
 2
         THE COURT: You have a right to ask the Court to direct the
 3
    Clerk to enter a notice of appeal on your behalf or you can rely
    on Mr. Donahoe to file the notice to appeal on your behalf. Do
 5
    you understand that?
 6
         THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 7
         THE COURT: Do you want the Court to direct the Clerk to
 8
    enter a notice of appeal on your behalf or do you want to rely
 9
    on Mr. Donahoe?
10
         THE DEFENDANT:
                        Yes.
11
         THE COURT: Okay. That's yes to which? Are you going to
12
    rely on Mr. Donahoe?
13
         THE DEFENDANT: Rely on Mr. Donahoe.
14
         THE COURT: All right. I will not direct the Clerk to
15
    enter a notice of appeal. Well, I'm going to remand you to the
16
    custody of the United States Marshals to carry out the judgment
17
    of the Court. We will be in recess.
18
         (End of proceedings.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF MONTANA )
4	: ss County of Missoula )
5	
6	I, Jennifer Wells, Freelance Court Reporter for the State of Montana, residing in Missoula, Montana, do hereby certify:
7	That I was duly authorized to and did report the testimony and evidence in this cause.
8 9	I further certify that the foregoing pages of this transcript represent a true and accurate transcription of my stenotype notes.
10 11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand on this the 17th day of December, 2012.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Gennifes X. Wells
18	
19	Jennifer Wells
20	Freelance Court Reporter State of Montana, Residing in Missoula, Montana
21	Residing in Missodia, Montana
22	
23	
24	
25	